



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,520	09/25/2003	Per Ehrenreich Nygaard	1175/71019	1183
7590 Donald S. Dowden Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			EXAMINER HALL, DEANNA K	
			ART UNIT 3767	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/01/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/672,520

Applicant(s)

NYGAARD ET AL.

Examiner

DEANNA K. HALL

Art Unit

3767

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 November 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5,7,9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,5,7,9,11 and 12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgments

1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 11/9/09.
2. In the reply, the applicant amended claims 1, 5, 7 and 9; canceled claims 2, 4 and 10; added new claims 11-12.
3. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9 and 11-12 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabiner et al. (US 6,524,251) ("Rabiner") in view of Francis (US 5,954,637).**

Rabiner discloses a catheter with at least two circular or partially circular cross sectional parts 109, 109, Fig. 3F where a rod 6 is inserted between the parts and at the end is provided with an ultrasonic transducer 22, 23. The semi-circular parts are surrounded by an outer tube 108, Fig. 3B. Further, Rabiner discloses that the parts 109, 109 can be locked relative to one another 113 and that the rod 6 with the ultrasonic transducer can be rotated relative to the completely or partially circular parts C4 L54-56.

The surface of at least one of the parts 109 is provided with a longitudinal groove for the insertion of a surgical instrument col. 9 lines 6-11, C10 L12-14, C8L66-C9L11. The surgical instrument of Rabiner C8L65-67 is a needle and the longitudinal groove in the sheath is shaped such that the needle extends immediately below and parallel to the outer tube, see Figs. 6-7. Fig. 7 shows the external aspiration channels 60 along the probe itself, these drawings would be the same for the external aspiration channels on the sheath C9L6-23. The groove in the sheath ends in the surface of the sheath immediately below the outer tube 108, Fig. 7 and the groove extends at least long enough along the length of the sheath so that a first end of the groove is outside the body and a second end of the groove is inside the body C9L14-15, Fig. 7 (aspiration channel is along the probe length).

Rabiner discloses the invention as substantially claimed (see above). However, Rabiner does not directly disclose the circular or partially circular cross sectional parts 109, 109 being removably engageable with one another and capable of being removed from the outer tube and disengaged from each other for sterilization/disinfecting purposes. Francis, in the analogous art, teaches two housing pieces 110a, 110b removably engageable and locked relative to one another, Fig. 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the connection 113 removably engageable for easier disinfecting or sterilization to make the unit reusable to lower procedural expenses. Thus, since it is considered desirable to remove and sterilize the sheath it would be obvious to make the two parts 109, 109 removably engageable with one another as taught by Francis to allow for

easier removal around the probe and other parts of the ultrasonic device. Thus also, once the parts were separate, it would be inherent that the outer tube 108 surrounding the parts would keep together the parts. Further, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Francis does not allow the housing parts to be disengaged for sterilization. This argument is not persuasive. The housing parts of Francis clearly teach a snap lock engagement 121, 119. These parts could just as easily be disengaged for sterilization or any other reason. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Responses to applicant's other arguments are addressed in the body of the rejection above.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEANNA K. HALL whose telephone number is (571)272-2819. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Simons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deanna K. Hall/

Examiner, Art Unit 3767

2/25/10

/Kevin C. Simmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767